



INTERESTED IN WINE? OR BLOCKCHAIN? OR BOTH?





Co-funded by the European Union



Everything is fine with wine...







Christian Seiler

teilen



The Scandal that changed everything

Weinskandal (wine scandal) is a potent word, much like blitzkrieg or wanderlust. The German language has such a talent for words like this, it even exports them into English. Anyone whose interest in wine isn't limited to asking where the corkscrew is knows the story of the Austrian wine scandal. It's a good story, especially because it has a happy ending.

For anyone who doesn't have the facts to hand right now: in the summer of 1985, anonymous reports revealed that Austrian winemakers, as well as large German bottlers, had laced their wines with diethylene glycol. Added in the form

Christian Seiler

The Obso Wine

Ed Cum

Sun 11 Sep

f

Zur Person

Geschichten

Kolumnen

Corporate

Kontakt

Bücher

dose. Just one small glass of a wine like this, gulped thirstily down, could be fatal.

Methyl alcohol (CH4O), has a strong toxic effect on the human organism. Once it has entered the system, it leads to hyperacidity of the blood, and of the whole body, within six to 30 hours. The symptoms are headaches, vomiting, dizziness and breathlessness. If treatment (usually administration of the antidote, fomepizole) is not started in time, it causes nerve damage, in particular damage to the optic nerve, followed by blindness, and eventually respiratory failure and death.

When reports of the victims of this methanol poisoning became public, consumption of wine sank dramatically.

h a ish. here appy w: in

had

orm

AA

Verlag

ed

Christian Seiler

C 🔒

The Obso Wine

Ed Cum

Sun 11 Sep

f

Zur Person

Geschichten

Kolumnen

Corporate

Kontakt

Bücher

dose. Just one small glass of a wine like this, gulped thirstily down, could be fatal.

Methyl alcohol (CH4O), has a strong toxic effect on the human organism. Once it has entered the system, it leads to hyperacidity of the blood, and of the whole body, within six to 30 hours. The symptoms are headaches, vomiting, dizziness and breathlessness. If treatment (usually administration of the antidote, fomepizole) is not started in time, it causes nerve damage, in particular damage to the optic nerve, followed by blindness, and eventually respiratory failure and death.

When reports of the victims of this methanol poisoning became public, consumption of wine sank dramatically.

ish. here appy w: in

had

orm

h a

AA

Verlag

Ve





Châteauneuf-du-Pape Wine Scandal

It is France who is the undisputed historical motherland of premium wine and the birthplace of wine law. Here fraudsters face serious iail time and very public disgrace when mucking about with French wine.

As was the case recently when bulk wine merchant Raphaël Michel fell from grace. Raphaël Michel buys wine from 100's of producers to blend and bottle wine all over the world. In 2017, the Rhône Valley arm of the compar was at the center of a massive wine scandal.

Authorities found that 48 million litres of simple, inexpensive Côtes du Rhône wine was mislabelled and sold as the more prestigious Châteauneuf-du-Pape wine over a 4-year period.

Originally, the organizations chairman, Guillaume Ryckwaert, "was charged with fraud, deception and violations



How the pandemic-fueled increase in online sales and decrease in vetting has led to more counterfeit bottles-and how wine





SUSTAINABILITY | SUBSCRIB

llio

ony

an



We often thinfi about the Consumer risfis...



Wine Supply Chain Traceability: GS1 Application Guideline GS1

The Wine Supply Chain



Consumer risfis... ...and <u>risfis borne by other</u> <u>stafieholders</u>



Wine Supply Chain Traceability: GS1 Application Guideline GS1 The Wine Supply Chain



Grapes Grape Grower Wine Producer Bulk Wine Bulk Wine Distributor Bulk Wine Finished Bulk Wine Goods Transit Cellar Bulk Wine Filler / Packer Finished Goods Finished Goods Distributor Finished Finished Goods Wholesaler Finished Goods

Retaile

Cellar Door Sales

The Wine Supply Chain

Consumer risfis... ...and risfis borne by other stafieholders

Sales related risks



Wine Supply Chain Traceability: GS1 Application Guideline GS1

Consumer risfis... ...and risfis borne by other stafieholders

Logistics related risks

Sales related risks



Wine Supply Chain Traceability: GS1 Application Guideline GS1

The Wine Supply Chain





Consumer risfis... ...and risfis borne by other stafieholders

Wine producer risks **Logistics related risks** Sales related risks

Wine Supply Chain Traceability: GS1 Application Guideline GS1





Logistics related risks

Sales related risks



Wine Supply Chain Traceability: GS1 Application Guideline GS1





Organisational structures and risfis... We may trust the entity...



Organisational structures and risfis... We may trust the entity...



Some of the risks mentioned can be covered via national/regional certification programmes.

Some of the risks mentioned can be covered via national/regional certification

programmes.



Some of the risks mentioned can be covered via national/regional certification

programmes.

DOK Malta



Some of the risks mentioned can be covered via national/regional certification programmes.

Yet, such programmes do not fill all 'trust gaps':

* Full trust is required in authorities' processes and maintenance of data

Some of the risks mentioned can be covered via national/regional certification programmes.

Yet, such programmes do not fill all 'trust gaps':

* Full trust is required in authorities' processes and maintenance of data

* Authority only gets access to stakeholder data once requested/submitted

Some of the risks mentioned can be covered via national/regional certification programmes.

Yet, such programmes do not fill all 'trust gaps':

* Full trust is required in authorities' processes and maintenance of data

* Authority only gets access to stakeholder data once requested/submitted > Has the data been changed since it was originally recorded?

Some of the risks mentioned can be covered via national/regional certification programmes.

Yet, such programmes do not fill all 'trust gaps':

* Full trust is required in authorities' processes and maintenance of data

* Authority only gets access to stakeholder data once requested/submitted
> Has the data been changed since it was originally recorded?
> Has the data been recorded retrospectively?

Some of the risks mentioned can be covered via national/regional certification programmes.

Yet, such programmes do not fill all 'trust gaps':

* Full trust is required in authorities' processes and maintenance of data

* Authority only gets access to stakeholder data once requested/submitted
> Has the data been changed since it was originally recorded?
> Has the data been recorded retrospectively?

* How can the producer be sure employee/third-party data has not changed?

Staff/third-party risfis



Authority



Wine Supply Chain Traceability: GS1 Application Guideline GS1



Wine Supply Chain Traceability: GS1 Application Guideline GS1

Some of the risks mentioned can be covered via national/regional certification programmes.

Yet, such programmes do not remove all 'trust gaps': * Full trust is required in authorities' processes and maintenance of data

* Authority only gets access to stakeholder data once requested/submitted
> Has the data been changed since it was originally recorded?
> Has the data been recorded retrospectively?

* How can the producer be sure employee/third-party data has not changed?

Can we fill some remnant 'trust gaps'?

Some of the risks mentioned can be covered via national/regional certification programmes.

Yet, such programmes do not remove all 'trust gaps': * Full trust is required in authorities' processes and maintenance of data

* Authority only gets access to stakeholder data once requested/submitted
> Has the data been changed since it was originally recorded?
> Has the data been recorded retrospectively?

* How can the producer be sure employee/third- p

Can we fill some remnant 'trust gaps'?

One "trust gap" that is often just accepted is the trust we have in our digital systems... We need to trust our digital systems too...

...consider Payment Systems as an example

AccountID	Name	<balance></balance>	

AccountID	Name	<balance></balance>	
1392	Ме	EUR 0.01	
1393	You	EUR 1,234,567.89	

TransactionID	FromAccount	ToAccount	Amount

TransactionID	FromAccount	ToAccount	Amount
1234	1392	1393	0.01
Centralised Payments/Accounting Systems

TransactionID	FromAccount	ToAccount	Amount	
1234	1392	1393	0.01	
				I am now broke :(

Centralised Payments/Accounting Systems

TransactionID	FromAccount	ToAccount	Amount
1234	1392	1393	0.01
			I am now broke :(Can I somehow "hack" the system, steal money, or bribe someone?

Centralised Payments/Accounting Systems

TransactionID	FromAccount	ToAccount	Amount
1234	1392	1393	0.01
1235	1393	1392	100,000



* Data





* Data







* Data





Because of the centralised nature of the system... rules can be broken.

Date & Time	Lab Tester	Verified Batch	Details
1st Jan 2024 13:01	JE	Yes	
1st Mar 2024 12:34	JE	Yes	
1st Jul 2024 14:11	JE	Yes	

Date & Time	Lab Tester	Verified Batch	Details
1st Jan 2024 13:01	JE	Yes	
1st Mar 2024 12:34	JE	Yes	
1st Jul 2024 14:11	JE	Yes	

Imagine we had to test a minimum of once every two months... and we forgot to test...

Date & Time	Lab Tester	Verified Batch	Details
1st Jan 2024 13:01	JE	Yes	
1st Mar 2024 12:34	JE	Yes	
1st Jul 2024 14:11	JE	Yes	

Imagine we had to test a minimum of once every two months... and we forgot to test... and something went wrong with a batch produced in April...

Date & Time	Lab Tester	Verified Batch	Details
1st Jan 2024 13:01	JE	Yes	
1st Mar 2024 12:34	JE	Yes	
1st Jul 2024 14:11	JE	Yes	

Imagine we had to test a minimum of once every two months... and we forgot to test... and something went wrong with a batch produced in April... Simple... alter the data.

Date & Time	Lab Tester	Verified Batch	Details
1st Jan 2024 13:01	JE	Yes	
1st Mar 2024 12:34	JE	Yes	
1st May 2024 14:11	JE	Yes	
1st Jul 2024 14:11	JE	Yes	

Imagine we had to test a minimum of once every two months... and we forgot to test... and something went wrong with a batch produced in April... Simple... alter the data.

Wouldn't it be great if we could areate tamper-proof digital systems? Where no area is in control?

Wouldn't it be great if we could areate tamper-proof digital systems? Where no one is in control?





Decentralised Systems

- The code will do exactly what is written in the code.
- No one can change or cheat the code.



Decentralised Systems

- The code will do exactly what is written in the code.
- No one can change or cheat the code.
- We did not know how to create systems like this
 - Till Bitcoin proposed
 "Blockchain"







	FromAccount	ToAccount	Amount
1234	1392	1393	0.01
1235	1393	1392	100,000



Blocfichain: uses algorithms to mafie sure the rules are not brofien



Blocfichain: uses algorithms to mafie sure the rules are not brofien















Blocfichain: can be used for much more than just a ledger

We can encode rules into the blockchain:

E.g. A certification QR code is only issued if: * Forms have been fully completed by producer & validated by blockchain

* Verified and approved by delegated Authority Official

Can Blocfichain help minimise remnant trustsgapsized in authorities' processes and maintenance of data

Can Blocfichain help minimise remnant 'trust gaps'?

* Full trust is required in authorities' processes and maintenance of data

Certification process can be encoded into blockchain, and certification issued if all rules are followed.

Can Blocfichain help minimise remnant **trust** gapsired in authorities' processes and maintenance of data

Can Blocfichain help minimise remnant 'trust gaps'?

* Full trust is required in authorities' processes and maintenance of data

* Authority only gets access to stakeholder data once requested/submitted
 > Has the data been changed since it was originally recorded?
 > Has the data been recorded retrospectively?
* Full trust is required in authorities' processes and maintenance of data

Various stakeholders can immutably log data on blockchain.

* Full trust is required in authorities' processes and maintenance of data

* Authority only gets access to stakeholder data once requested/submitted
 > Has the data been changed since it was originally recorded?
 > Has the data been recorded retrospectively?

* Full trust is required in authorities' processes and maintenance of data

- * Authority only gets access to stakeholder data once requested/submitted
 > Has the data been changed since it was originally recorded?
 > Has the data been recorded retrospectively?
- * How can the producer be sure employee/third-party data has not changed?

* Full trust is required in authorities' processes and maintenance of data

Again, Various stakeholders can immutably log data on blockchain.

Do Blocfichains solve all issues?

No, other remnant 'trust gaps' will remain.











From...



Wine Supply Chain Traceability: GS1 Application Guideline GS1

...То.



Wine Supply Chain Traceability: GS1 Application Guideline GS1





1st Step: Survey



Date of publication xxxx 00, 0000, date of current version xxxx 00, 0000. Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.0322000

Bottling Up Trust: A Review of Blockchain Adoption in Wine Supply Chain Traceability

GOWHER MAJEED PARRY¹, IOANNIS REVOLIDIS¹, JOSHUA ELLUL¹, and GORDON PACE¹

¹Centre for DLT, University of Malta, Malta Corresponding author: Gowher Majeed Parry (gowher.parry@um.edu.mt).

The project, VinoVeritas, is part-financed by the European Union, EAFRD 1153 2014-2020.

Over 209 papers across: * challenges of wine supply chains * proposed blockchain solutions

Findings:

* Solutions tend to be focused on a specific stakeholder

* Solutions often non-intuitive (blockchain UI)

* Need for more: process flexibility, ease-of-use, technology-independence

VINOVERITAS ... when wine meets blockchain Aim of project: **Focus on infrastructure development to support ease of:** 1. Process definition 2. Attestation 3. Verifiability



Supply Chain Stakeholders





Need for an Attestation Flow Model Framework

Why not use something existing like BPMN?



Complexity: BPMN is designed for comprehensive business process modelling.

We need something that is simple.

Need for an Attestation Flow Model Framework

Why not use something existing like BPMN?



Specificity: BPMN is designed for general purpose process modelling.

We need something that is specific for attestation verification.

Need for an Attestation Flow Model Framework

Why not use something existing like BPMN?



Data Location Specificity: While BPMN can support where tasks/data can be stored to some degree, it isn't ideal for specific node-related data locations.

We need something that allows for ease of data location specification.

Design of General Attestation Model Flow



Extension of National Declaration Platform

	gov.mt	
Sign In	EN	
Sign in with your e-ID Acco	ount*	Verify Two-F
D Number (view sample)		
00000XXX	×	Enter the 6-digit coo Authenticator applic
Password		Enter the verificati
		Enter the vernication
Sign In		If you can't set prefe code or can't use you in a different way.
Sign-in and change my pass	sword	Verify
"If you don't have an e-ID account, kindly during office hours on 2590 4300 .	v contact us	veniy
Forgot your password?		
Help on how to start using e-ID		



Declaration

Policy on Data Protection

The Directorate of Agriculture carries out its functions in accordance with all relevant European Commission and local regulations. This application together with the documents attached will be kept confidential and will be processed with compliance with the General Data Protection Regulation (EU) 2016/679. Personal information maybe given to the Ministry of Environment, Sustainable Development and the Climate Change, as well as departments under this Ministry, to manage the agricultural policy. You are entitled to ask regarding personal data being processed about you as provided by the Privacy Policy of the Agency, if and when you want you can request a copy.



IoT Deployment





Installation underway (any day now)

VINOVERITAS ... when wine meets blockchain

IoT Data Collection

Soil Conductivity



⊕⊖ 🔍 🖑 🏚 🚍



Supply-chain stakeholder Attestation

Click on step to go back: Prototype Wine > Vineyard owner > Barreling

Attest

Barreling	
Select the related Grape Testing preceding Barreling	
Grape Testing - 01-Jun-2024 12:33:11 ~	
+ Add more	
Provide details to be included in attestation:	
Witnessed by JA	
Upload a file to be included in attestation: Choose File CERT_202406_11.pdf	VINOVERITAS
✓ Attest	when wine meets blockchain
9	meets blockchain

Consumer Verification

ino Veritas		About Us
		FONDLeu
Syrah		
Prototype W	ine	° 🔥
3TL1	_	• \
Description History Reviews		
Grape Testing	01-Jun-2024 12:33:11	
This attestation stage has been verified as su timestamp.	ccessfully attested to at the indicated	
Comment from Attester: Tested imported grapes to ensure grapes a	e of a high-level of quality	Vino Veritos
Barreling	01-Jun-2024 12:33:37	
This attestation stage has been verified as su timestamp.	ccessfully attested to at the indicated	VinoVerita
Comment from Attester:		
Barreled grapes and stored barrel in wine c	ellar	
Barrel Testing	01-Jun-2024 12:35:09	
This attestation stage has been verified as su timestamp.	ccessfully attested to at the indicated	
Comment from Attester:		
Carried out lab testing to check acidity leve	ls	
Barrel Testing	01-Jun-2024 12:35:33	· · ·
This attestation stage has been verified as su timestamp.	ccessfully attested to at the indicated	

INOVERITAS ... when wine meets blockchain

€

Comment from Attester:

What should Smart Contract code look like?



Disadvantages:

- Deployment of smart contract code to blockchain consumes costs
- For attestations that _ are relevant to different processes/flows, attestation needs to be sent individually to each associated smart contract... ...more costs

What should Smart Contract code look like?



Advantage:

- Single smart contract uploaded and used for all processes.
- A single attestation can be uploaded and used across different processes.

Disadvantages:

 Interpretation of processes on-chain introduces interpretation execution costs. Where should verification code live?

Solutions proposed execute verification code "on-chain" (in smart contracts)

Whilst this provides guarantees wrt processes followed, involves cost for "on-chain" execution





Where should verification code live?

Solutions proposed execute verification code "on-chain" (in smart contracts)

Whilst this provides guarantees wrt processes followed, involves cost for "on-chain" execution



We proposed execution verification off-chain, on users' devices — to minimise costs without sacrificing guarantees.





Centralised

	Approach	S.1	S.2	S.3	S.4	S.5	C1	C.2	C.3	C.4	C.5	C.6
uinomonto		0.1	0.2	0.5	0.1	0.0	~.1	<u> </u>	0.5		0.5	
luirements	[Farokhnia and Kafshdar Goharshady 2023]							1		X		
h)	[Körbel et al. 2021]							×				
ore on-chain	Bulletproof [Bünz et al. 2018]							×				
	Ekiden [Cheng et al. 2018]										×	
, each user	ZoKrates [Eberhardt and Tai 2018]							×				
	TrueBit [Teutsch and Reitwießner 2019]									×		
rocess	SlimChain [Xu et al. 2021]		×						×			
levels of	[Zheng et al. 2018]		×									
ce data is	[Chou et al. 2020]		×									
	[Jayabalan and Jeyanthi 2022]		×									
	[López-Pimentel et al. 2020]				×							
	[Sutton and Samavi 2017]			×								
		-		-	-		<u>.</u>	~	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Low storage requ (less than a hash) might as well sto

For verification, can verify the pr off-chain. Same guarantees, since stored on-chain.

What should Smart Contract code look like?



Best of both worlds:

- Single smart contract deployed and used for all processes.
- + A single attestation can be uploaded and used across different processes.
- No on-chain interpretation costs (as this can be done off-chain on users' devices)

Final Steps



Drone Data Integration





VinoVeritas



VINOVERITAS ... when wine meets blockchain

General Attestation Framework Paper

• Model Semantics



Finally, the example below shows a single-shot attestation node with branching. One of the conditions (checking whether the attestation is for a fine wine with acidity level less than 0.5 and not from a sample barrel) is shown in the callout.

Enable through non-blocking nodes: Non-blocking nodes that become enabled, enable their successors:

$$\forall q, q'. q \in E' \cap nonblocking \land q \rightarrow q' \Longrightarrow q' \in E'.$$

- Blocking vs. non-blocking: The node must be specified to be a blocking or non-blocking. This
- affects whether the successor node is enabled, but not the completion status.
 Completion-constraint: The node contains a condition which may refer to attestations already
- condition which may refer to attestations already received from attestation nodes and objects to which they refer to.



General Attestation Framework Paper

- Model Semantics
- Smart contract design options and overhead evaluation



Approach	S.1	S.2	S.3	S.4	S.5	C.1	C.2	C.3	C.4	C.5	C.6
Ellul and Pace, 2024	×										×

User Acceptance Testing

- Winery training and feedback
- Authority demo and feedback
- Adapt software to better support user needs



Future:

- Increasing temperatures is literally changing the Maltese landscape and will likely impact quality of future produce (and wines)
- Helping winerys to make sense of the data
 - Continual Data Collection
 - Prediction/Intelligence
- Application to other Agri-supply chains and sectors.



Thank you for listening!

Prof. **Joshua Ellul**

Associate Professor

Dept. of Computer Science and Centre for DLT

University of Malta





joshua.ellul@um.edu.mt

linkedin.com/in**/joshuaellul**

@joshuaellul