## **Evaluation of the contribution of the React-EU** funds in fostering the resilience of the healthcare system in Malta

Presentation of final report, findings and recommendations

Alison Mizzi | Director, EU Advisory Services



**Funded by** the European Union

### 4 December 2024

### **Monitoring Committee**



## Introduction

- Purpose of the evaluation:
  - (a) Accountability: accountability for the funds spent.
  - (b) Knowledge generation: on effects on the ground and learning to improve on similar measures in the future.



## **Evaluation Methodology**

- The evaluation design reflects the nature of the project, represented by the acquisition of different equipment within specific health services (either at MDH, SVDP or GGH).
- The nature of these health services varies significantly, and this is reflected in the differing levels of causal effects that can be realistically considered in a research context.
- This structure effectively results in 26 separate sub-evaluations. In this regard, the following • was carried out:
  - Desk based analysis through review of documents, including Grant Agreement, tenders (a) and contracts, and online research pertaining to other similar interventions.
  - (b) A survey to capture information on all 26 equipment items.
  - 10 detailed case-studies, capturing also interviews and site visits. (c)
  - The remaining sub-interventions were covered through the information provided in the (d) survey and desk research.



# Findings: Effectiveness

EQ1: To what extent has the equipment enabled / is expected to enable an improved service delivery within the healthcare system?





## Summary | Effectiveness

1. Volume for many services

### Fixed x-ray rooms



Increase in number of X-ray procedures between 2019-2023/4

### Mobile x-ray

Supported a steady growth of about 2.5% yearly.

### **Ophthalmic lasers**

28% increase in laser clinic outpatient between 2017-2023 → growing usage of advanced ophthalmic treatments.

### **SUPPORT SERVICES**



# Generally supporting growing demand

### Lithotripsy

90% increase in the first half of 2024 compared to the average of 2017-2022.





## **Summary | Effectiveness**

## 2. Improved quality of services

-Ŵ-

 Patients • Diagnostic equipment Health staff

MRI

High quality diagnostics Reduced the need for Gozitans to travel to Malta

### Microscope

Improved functionality

- $\rightarrow$  better surgical outcomes
- $\rightarrow$  improved ergonomics for medical team

### Video endoscopy

Improved imaging and enhanced diagnostic accurancy

### Mobile x-ray

Made bed-side imaging better

### **Fixed x-ray**

Better quality images improving diagnostic accuracy; Reduced radiation.

©EMCS 2024

Main challenge in effectiveness Human resource capacity and skills to deliver the services across several of the services under this study.

### Lithotripsy

Higher success rates; Drastically lowers amount of ionizing radiation for patients.

### **Ophtalmic Lasers**

More effective and require fewer sessions Improving patient outcomes and satisfaction

### **Motorised beds**

Improved patient and staff comfort, reducing physical strain.



# Findings: Efficiency

EQ2: To what extent was the project instrumental in improving the efficiency and resilience of the healthcare system in Malta?



## Summary | Efficiency





Enabled nurses / midwives to drive themselves - more efficient



## Summary | Efficiency

## 2. Cost Efficiency in various ways

Fixed x-ray machine	Reduced sickness-related absences among radiog ergonomics and reduced noise pollution
Microscope	No longer necessary to send patients abroad
<b>Outreach services</b>	No reliance on drivers of other services; Earlier di occupancy
Automated sorters	Possibility to address non-conformities, pote associated with delayed diagnostics or treatmer
Mobile x-ray machines	Decreased unit costs as they enable imaging more p
Low floor beds	Reducing the need for surveillance frees up t other needs
Lithotripsy machine	Reduced anaesthesia, freeing up anaesthetists; reducing m

# Mostly qualitative assessment

Opportunities for more services Lithotripsy Surgical Microscope

graphers due to improved

Prices were generally considered to be reasonable

reasonableness

3. Price

ischarge  $\rightarrow$  reduced bed

entially reducing costs nt

patients at any given time

time for staff to focus on

nanpower requirements



# Findings: Resilience and Sustainability

EQ3: What is the impact / foreseen impact of the project on the resilience and sustainability of the healthcare sector in Malta in the long-term?



## Summary | Resilience

#### 01. Redundancy / back-ups (e.g.)

 $\rightarrow$  5 Fixed x-ray rooms and portable x-ray machines

- $\rightarrow$  Automated sorters
- → Toxicology
- $\rightarrow$  Isolators
- $\rightarrow$  Ophthalmic lasers

#### 04. Supply Chain

- → Lack of diversity of suppliers for specialised equipment in the local market.
- → Resilience in supply chain is evident in some cases.

01. Redundancy & diversity

04. Resilience of the supply chain

02. Interoperability & connectivity

03. Upgradability & adaptability

©EMCS 2024

#### Resilience

Good understanding of the importance of redundancy and contingency planning Less awareness of the role of diversity, connectivity and learning

## 02. Interoperability & Connectivity

No changes were observed in the collaboration between the different departments.

#### Two positive exceptions

- → Outreach: positive connectivity with the social system.
- → Automated sorters: time to identify systemic errors & their causes and fix them.

#### 03. Upgradability & Adaptability

**Positive e.g.** mainly in software or some components:

- $\rightarrow$  Fixed x-ray machines
- $\rightarrow$  Lithotripsy
- $\rightarrow$  MRI
- → Operating Theatre lights Not possible e.g.
- $\rightarrow$  Mobile x-ray machines
- $\rightarrow$  Negative pressure isolators
- $\rightarrow$  Ophthalmic lasers

## Summary | Sustainability

#### 01. Service Continuity

Continuous services based on:

- → common reliance on extensive warranties and service agreements with prompt response requirements.
- → enabling quick repairs and reliable access to spare parts.
- → in some cases, replacements are provided.

This situation applies in most cases.

### 01. Service Continuity

### 02. Durability

### 03. Operational Costs

©EMCS 2024

#### 02. Durability

The average life-time of health equipment under review is 8.5 years.

#### 03. Operational Costs

The understanding is that once the investment need is identified and approved, operational costs to run the service are then considered in the budget of MDH.



# Findings: Horizontal Principles

EQ4: How were the horizontal principles upheld?



## **Summary | Horizontal Principles**



### **Carbon Footprint**

• MRI

- Electric vehicles
- Rapid responses vehicles (hybrid)



#### Some differences:

- $\rightarrow$  MRI average time for F is slightly longer
- $\rightarrow$  Outreach: Urology used more by M; and Orthopaedics more by F
- $\rightarrow$  Lithotripsy: kidney stones more prevalent amongst M
- $\rightarrow$  Motorised beds: more F in care facilities

### Outreach

Some mothers opt out from using such services including due to cultural considerations X-rays

Adjusted procedures for children + very old patients

normal in crisis situations, and in relation to the technical



## MAIN CONCLUSIONS

#### 01. Effectiveness

→ Substantial increases in volume of services

- $\rightarrow$  Quality improvements evident
- $\rightarrow$  New services introduced
- $\rightarrow$  Isolators
- $\rightarrow$  Ophthalmic lasers

MAIN CHALLENGE: → Human resource capacity and skills

#### 04. Horizontal Principles

→ All horizontal principles were largely upheld across the different interventions.

### 01. Effectiveness

04. Horizontal principles

**02. Efficiency** 

03. Resilience and sustainability

©EMCS 2024

#### **Main limitations**

- High heterogeneity of the interventions limited the aggregation of data.
- Most cases had to rely on qualitative accounts, therefore limitation to triangulate.
- Quality of data varies between departments, and mostly is output based rather than outcome based.

#### 02. Efficiency

- → Significant improvements in time efficiency.
- → Significant improvements in cost efficiency.
- → Prices were considered reasonable.

#### 03. Resilience + sustainability

- → Backups and contingency plans are in place.
- → Upgrades possible for some equipment.
- → Connectivity: Outreach + Sorters.
- → Service continuity: maintenance + service agreement.

MAIN CHALLENGE: → Adequate backup staff.

Recommendations



## Recommendations

Recommendation	Description
Ability to provide backup staff	<ul> <li>An almost universal resilience bottleneck identified as provide staff backup.</li> </ul>
Opportunity to maximise the use of the investment	<ul> <li>The equipment is essential to be available within the N Examples include the Ophthalmic Lasers (CS#15) and -</li> <li>Whilst this means that there is a degree of resilience to increase utilisation could be considered, for example be neurosurgery (where there is more demand and if constructions).</li> </ul>
Optimise energy efficiency and considerations for renewable energy sources	<ul> <li>Consideration of options for maximising energy efficient impinging on the quality of the health care service.</li> <li>A consideration should be given to invest in renewable thereof) the increase in energy demands resulting from remains critical).</li> </ul>
Considering feasibility of maintaining data at beneficiary level based on set parameters tied to health outcomes	<ul> <li>Data that is output based, and outcome based are opportunities to monitor effectiveness of such investme</li> </ul>

part of the research is the ability to

IHS, and meeting current demands. the Surgical Microscope (CS#24).

b handle shocks, a consideration to by using the microscope more for sidered feasible).

ency, where relevant, without

e energy solutions, to offset (part n healthcare equipment (which

e considered important reflection ents where feasible.



# **EMCS** Advisory

Contact Information

Alison Mizzi

Director – EU Advisory Services

alison.mizzi@emcs.com.mt

Company Information

#### Premises

Centris Business Gateway II Level 4, Triq is-Salib tal-Imriehel Zone 3 Central Business District Birkirkara, CBD3020, Malta

### Company No C68521

©EMCS 2024



## Main Outcomes: Cost efficiency

The interventions also led to cost savings in various ways. Some examples:

- X-ray machines reduced maintenance costs and sicknessrelated absences among radiographers due to improved ergonomics and reduced noise pollution.
- Microscope: no longer necessary to send patients abroad for surgery.
- Outreach services: saved costs by reducing the need for drivers, with estimated savings of up to €105,474.60 per annum. Additionally, the reduction in hospital bed occupancy due to earlier discharges in midwifery and orthopaedic outreach services led to significant cost savings. For example, the average length of stay for normal deliveries was reduced by 0.45 days, resulting in an estimated annual saving of €1.55 million.

- surveillance.



Automated sorters which allowed pathology staff to focus on addressing non-conformities, potentially reducing costs associated with delayed diagnostics or treatment.

Mobile direct digital X-ray machines and digital wireless detectors, decreased unit costs were noted as they enable imaging more patients at any given time.

Low floor beds has led to lower surveillance costs by reducing the risk of falls, which in turn decreases the need for patient

Lithotripsy machine as a result of the reduced the need for anaesthesia, freeing up anaesthetists for other procedures and reducing manpower requirements

